United States District Court

Northern District of Mississippi

VERDICT

RODERICK KEITH GRAY PLAINTIFI		
v.		CASE NO. 3:11-CV-90
CITY OF BRUCE, MISSISSIPPI		DEFENDANT
1.	Has Mr. Gray proved by a preponderance of the evid motivating factor that prompted the City to deny a pr Public Works Director?	
	Answer Yes or No: 45	
If your answer is "No," this ends your deliberations, and the foreperson should sign and date the verdict form. If your answer is "Yes," go to the next question.		
2.	Has the City of Bruce proved by a preponderance of have denied Mr. Gray a promotion even if it had not account?	
	Answer Yes or No:	
If your answer is "Yes," this ends your deliberations, and the foreperson should sign and date the verdict form. If your answer is "No," go to the next question.		
3.	What amount, if any, of actual damages do you awar following?	d to Mr. Gray for the
	Lost Wages/Benefits: \$ 18,000	
So Say We All.	F	reperson's Signature

Date: 1-14-14